

Statistics by Hand
An Introductory Course for Psychologists

Relationships



Version 3.0

Lovely, lovely maths

- BEDMAS
 - Order of operations
 - Brackets first
 - Exponents next (e.g. 2^6)
 - Then **D**ivision and **M**ultiplication
 - Then **A**ddition and **S**ubtraction
 - $3 \times 2 + 6 = 12$, but $3 \times (2 + 6) = 24$
- Sigma (Σ)
 - $X = [1\ 2\ 3]$
 - $\Sigma X = 6$
- Multiplication is default operation
 - $(5-2)(4-1) = 9$
- Finger tapping!

Types of inference

- Do two groups of people differ **significantly** on a particular measure? **Lecture 2**
- Do a group of people differ **significantly** on a particular measure collected under two different conditions? **Lecture 3**
- Is there a **significant** relationship between two different measures of the same group of people? **This lecture**

New test: Contingency chi-square

For when you want to know whether two different variables are significantly related

and

- each participant contributes a two pieces of information (one for each variable) *or*
- a single participant contributes, on multiple occasions, two pieces of information (one for each variable)

Contingency chi-square: Prerequisites

- Each variable must be treated as **categorical** rather than **continuous**.

Continuous variable: A variable that can take any value, within certain bounds.

e.g. Height ... 178.872cm, but not -26cm.

Categorical variable: A variable that takes only certain defined values – generally speaking, a smallish (2-6) set of values.

e.g. Gender: Male / Female (mainly).

- Note: Continuous variables can be categorized to produce categorical variables
 - e.g. A particular pre-school class could be classified as either 3-years old or 4-years old, on the basis of a continuous variable (e.g. date and time of birth)

Contingency chi-square: Pre-requisites

- The data must be expressed in the form of **counts**, not in the form of, for example, percentages, probabilities etc.
- For example:
 - 10 three-year olds, 10 four-year olds
 - 5 males; 15 females:
- **NOT**
 - 50% three-year olds; 50% four-year olds
 - $P(\text{Male}) = 0.25$; $P(\text{female}) = 0.75$
- If you have data in these latter forms, you must convert it to **counts** (using the sample size) before you can use it in a chi-square.

An example data set

	3 years	4 years
Co-operative	12	3
Competitive	6	27

- These are described as the **observed** values.
 - i.e. what you actually measured/observed in your study.
- It looks like age and play style are related. How can we investigate this?
- As in previous lectures, we set a **null hypothesis** and attempt to reject it.
- The null hypothesis is the age and play style are **independent**.

Null hypothesis: Independence

	3 years	4 years
Co-operative	12	3
Competitive	6	27

- If age and play style were independent, what would be expected this table to look like?
- Probability theory (see lecture 1), specifically the multiplicative law, gives us the answer.

Multiplicative Law

The probability of a set of independent events all happening is the product of the individual probabilities.



$$P(H)=0.5$$



$$P(H)=0.5$$

$$0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$$

Expected values under independence

	3 years	4 years	TOTAL				
Co-operative	12	3	15				
Competitive	6	27	33				
TOTAL	18	30	48				

- $P(\text{co-operative}) = 15/48 = 0.31$
- $P(3 \text{ years}) = 18/48 = 0.38$
- $P(3 \text{ years} + \text{co-operative}) = 0.31 \times 0.38 = 0.117$
- No. of co-operative 3 year olds expected = $0.1172 \times 48 = 5.625$

Chi-square contingency

	3 years	4 years	TOTAL		3 years	4 years
Co-operative	12	3	15	Co-operative	5.625	9.375
Competitive	6	27	33	Competitive	12.375	20.625
TOTAL	18	30	48			

Short-cut:

$$E = (\text{Row total} \times \text{Column total}) / \text{Grand total}$$

$$E = (15 \times 18) / 48 = 5.625$$

This is what the table would look like if age and play-style were independent.

Chi-square calculation

- Basically, this expresses the extent to which the **observed** values differ from the values **expected** under the null hypothesis.

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$

O = Observed
E = Expected

Chi-square calculation

	3 years	4 years			
Co-operative	12	3	15	5.625	9.375
Competitive	6	27	33	12.375	20.625
	18	30	48		

$$\chi^2 = (12 - 5.625)^2 / 5.625 + (3 - 9.375)^2 / 9.375 + (6 - 12.375)^2 / 12.375 + (27 - 20.625)^2 / 20.625$$

$$\chi^2 = 7.225 + 4.335 + 3.284 + 1.970 = 16.8$$

Significance of χ^2

- Use a chi-square table.
- Unlike Wilcoxon, result is significant if your chi-square value **exceeds** the value in the table.
- d.f. = (rows-1)(columns-1) = (2-1)(2-1) = 1
- Chi-square is *multi-tailed*
 - There are many directions in which data could differ from the expecteds.
 - Chi-square tables are appropriate for all common uses.
- $\chi^2 = 16.8$, d.f. = 1. Result is significant.

Caveat!

- The calculation of the chi-square table assumes that **all expected values** are at least 5.
- If this is not true, the test for significance may be invalid.
- However, generally speaking $E < 5$ leads to a loss of power, rather than increases the chances of incorrectly concluding the relationship exists.

Process

	3 years	4 years	
Co-operative	12	3	15
Competitive	6	27	33
	18	30	48

Process

	3 years	4 years			
Co-operative	12	3	15	5.625	9.375
Competitive	6	27	33	12.375	20.625
	18	30	48		

$$E = (15 \times 18) / 48 = 5.625$$

$$E = (15 \times 30) / 48 = 9.375$$

$$E = (33 \times 18) / 48 = 12.375$$

$$E = (33 \times 30) / 48 = 20.625$$

Chi-square calculation

	3 years	4 years			
Co-operative	12	3	15	5.625	9.375
Competitive	6	27	33	12.375	20.625
	18	30	48		

$$\chi^2 = (12 - 5.625)^2 / 5.625 + (3 - 9.375)^2 / 9.375 + (6 - 12.375)^2 / 12.375 + (27 - 20.625)^2 / 20.625$$

$$\chi^2 = 7.225 + 4.335 + 3.284 + 1.970 = 16.8$$